



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/955,206	09/19/2001	Masanori Kawashima	35.C11186	8755
5514 75	590 11/02/2004	EXAMINER		
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			HO, TUAN V	
	30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			PAPER NUMBER
,			2615	
			DATE MAILED: 11/02/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/955,206	KAWASHIMA, MASANORI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tuan V Ho	2615			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> ·				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6 and 7</u> is/are pending in the applicati	ion.	,			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6 and 7</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ul>	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	n received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau		at received			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies no	i receiveu.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/14/0/	6)  Other: _	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Art Unit: 2615

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Page 2

2. The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract is too long.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 09/955,206

Art Unit: 2615

4. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Morino et al (US 6,400,401).

The applied reference has a common assignee (Canon Kabushiki Kaisha) with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

With regard to claim 6, Morino et al disclose sin Fig. 2-5, a camera control apparatus and network system that comprises the image communication system (work station 20 transmits and receives an image from camera unit 10 via an RS232C, col. 2, lines 52-67 and col. 3, lines 1-9), image photographing unit (camera unit 10 is controlled by work station 20 via RS 232C, col. 3, lines 1-9), supply means (video board 25 send images from camera unit 10 to display unit 16, col. 3, line 6), designating means (nine zones, col. 5, lines 1-4 and 20-25), and control information means (cursor 18 working in combination with CPU 21 of work station 20 generates control signals to camera unit 10 so as to change photographing states of the camera such

Art Unit: 2615

as pan, tilt and zoom, col. 5, lines 1-33; noted that the cursor position located in one of nine zones generates control signals corresponding to pan, tilt or zoom camera position).

With regard to claim 7, furthermore, Morino et al discloses in Fig. 6, that the photographing state inspecting means (Morino et al states in col. 5, lines 35-67 and col. 6, lines 1-24 that CPU 21 inspects mouse 26 and cursor 18 in accordance with a zone on a displayed image on monitor 17 so as to determine if the cursor is in positions of pan or tilt or zoom operation; thus, CPU 21 inherently includes an inspecting function that inspects the state of the photographing image in accordance with the cursor and zones A-D), and wherein on the basis of the inspection result of the photographing state inspecting means informs the photographing unit for changing a photographing direction (after determining the location of cursor 18, for example, zone D is designated, CPU 21, the CPU generates control signals to move the camera unit to the designated position as indicated by zone D).

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 09/955,206

Art Unit: 2615

Kato et al (US 6,452,628) discloses a camera control and display device using a graphical user interface including position indicators.

Masunaga et al (US 5,838,368) discloses a remote camera control system that includes a displayed image.

Lippincott (US 5,748,234) discloses a remote conferencing system that includes cable interconnections.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TUAN HO whose telephone number is (703) 305-4943. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 7AM to 4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Christensen, can be reached on (703) 308-9644. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Art Unit: 2615

TUAN HO

Primary Examiner

Page 6

Art Unit 2615